

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/650,516 08/28/2003 · Leland W. Weiss 01-796 719 7590 11/30/2006 **EXAMINER** CATERPILLAR INC. FREAY, CHARLES GRANT 100 N.E. ADAMS STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER PATENT DEPT. PEORIA, IL 616296490 3746

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/2003.

6) Other:

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group I and species 1 in the reply filed on October 3, 2006 is acknowledged.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because for ease of understanding and readability. the examiner suggest labeling the computer system block 330 "Controller"; the examiner also suggest somehow differentiating the fluid lines and the electrical signal lines in Figs. 1-3 (one option would be to make the electrical lines dashed or dot dash and have the fluid lines remain solid). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either

"Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are vague and indefinite because in each of claim 1 and claim 8 the housing is set forth as having "a pair of chambers" and the end covers are set forth as having "a pair of chambers". The following references, throughout the claims, to "one of said pair of chambers" are confusing because it is unclear which of the pairs of chambers are being referred to. The examiner suggest differentiating one of the pair of chambers by referring to it as a pumping chamber, end cover chamber or housing chamber.

Claim 5 is vague and indefinite because it sets forth that the system "is used in combination with a reheating system". This is a statement of desired use of the pump

and it is unclear what structure the applicant intends to claim by this limitations and what the structural connection and relationship between the elements would be.

Claim 6 is recites the limitation "said bore" in line. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 5, 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Batten et al (USPN 6,478,552) in view of Morris (USPN 5,238,372).

Application/Control Number: 10/650,516

Art Unit: 3746

Batten et al disclose a fluid driven free piston pump operated by an outside source fluid (at 102). The pump having a housing (shown schematically at 34,36) which define a pair of chambers (44, 46), a first valve (74) and a second valve (76) being operatively attached to the chambers, pistons (54,56) slidably positioned within the chambers and a shaft (108) attached to the pistons and slidably positioned in the chambers . Batten et al does not clearly disclose a control system including a computer and plural sensors or the housing having endcaps. Batten et al also do not disclose the bore being cylindrical or there being plural free piston pumps. Morris discloses a similar fluid driven pump having a housing with endcaps (43,36) and a computer (148) responsive to plural sensors (146, 146A). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to construct the housing of a central section and endcaps as taught by Morris as a simple means of providing the piston and cylinder arrangement which allows for the construction and assembly/disassembly of the pump. It also would have been obvious to use plural sensors and a computer control as an efficient and accurate means of controlling operation of the pump.

With regards to claim 5, the examiner notes that the apparatus of Batten et al in view of Morris is capable of performing in a reheat system and that claiming the intended use of the system does not distinguish the system from the prior art. See Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

With regards to claims 6 and 7 the examiner gives official notice that bores having a cylindrical configuration are well known and that it would have been obvious to use such a configuration of ease of manufacture. It also would have been obvious to

Art Unit: 3746

one of ordinary skill in the art to use or provide plural free piston pumps in order to have the capability of increased pumping volume.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 8-16 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Davoud discloses a free piston pump used with a reheat system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles G. Freay whose telephone number is 571-272-4827. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 A.M. to 5:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571-272-4828. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/650,516

Art Unit: 3746

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Charles G Freay V Primary Examiner Art Unit 3746

CGF November 22, 2006